

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/826,175	GUY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Michael P. Barker	1626

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael P. Barker.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joel Ackerman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2006

**Time:** 3:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 enablement*

Claims discussed:

*1,4-27,29-36*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The enablement of Claims 32-36 was discussed, and Applicant authorized Examiner to make amendments via an Examiner's Amendment which adds limitations of specific cancers from the Specification.

Furthermore, Examiner determined the methods of Claims 17-26 were patentably distinct from the methods of Claims 29-31, each of which were patentably distinct from the methods of Claims 32-36. Thus, Examiner required a second restriction as between each of the three methods-inventions. Upon the imposition of the new restriction requirement, Applicant canceled Claims 17-26 and 29-31 without prejudice to Applicant's right to file divisional applications on the canceled subject matter. A record of the restriction requirement can be found in this Notice of Allowability..